

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

2010 OCT 21 PH 3: 50

CELA

October 21, 2010

BY HAND DELIVERY

SENSITIVE

Christopher DeLacy, Esq. Holland & Knight 2099 Penn Ave., N.W., Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20006 chris.delacy@hklaw.com

William J. McGinley, Esq.
Patton Boggs LLP
2550 M Street, Northwest
Washington, DC 20037
WMcGinley@PattonBoggs.com

SECRETARIAT

2010 OCT 25 P 5: 09

RE: MUR 6054

Vernon G. Buchanan

Vern Buchanan for Congress and

Joseph Gruters, his official capacity as

treasurer

Dear Messrs. DeLacy and McGinley:

Based on a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission on August 19, 2008, information that the Commission ascertained in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, and information supplied by Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Commission, on March 17, 2010, found that there was reason to believe that your clients, knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f and 441a(f), and instituted an investigation of this matter.

After considering all the evidence available to the Commission, the Office of the General Counsel is prepared to recommend that the Commission find probable cause to believe that knowing and willful violations have occurred.

The Commission may or may not approve the General Counsel's recommendation. Submitted for your review is a brief stating the position of the General Counsel on the legal and factual issues of the case. Within 15 tlays of your receipt of this notice, you may file with the Secretary of the Commission a brief (ten popias if possible) stating your position on the issues and replying to the brief of the General Counsel. Three copies of such brief should also be forwarded to the Office of the General Counsel, if possible. The General Counsel's brief and any

Christopher DeLacy, Esq. William J. McGinley, Esq. Page 2

brief that you may submit will be considered by the Commission before proceeding to a vote on whether there is probable cause to believe a violation has occurred.

If you are unable to file a responsive brief within 15 days, you may submit a written request for an extension of time. All requests for extensions of time must be submitted in writing five days prior to the due date, good cause must be demonstrated, and the execution of an appropriate tolling agreement will be required. In addition, the Office of the General Counsel ordinarily will not give extensions beyond 20 days.

You may also request an oral hearing before the Commission. See "Procedural Rules for Probable Cause Hearings," 72 Fed. Reg. 64919 (Nov. 19, 2007). Hearings are voluntary, and no adverse inference will be drawn by the Commission based on a respondent's decision not to request such a hearing. Any request for a hearing must be submitted along with your reply brief and must state with specificity why the hearing is being requested and what issues the respondent expects to address. The Commission will notify you within 30 days of your request for a hearing as to whether or not the request has been granted.

Should you have any questions, please contact Michael Coiumbo, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1341.

Sincerely.

Christopher Hughey
Acting General Counsel

Enclosure Brief

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION

1 BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2010 OCT 25 PM 4: 06 2 MUR 6054 CELA 3 In the Matter of 4) 5 Vernon G. Buchanan 6 7 Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, 8 in his official capacity as treasurer 9 10 11 **GENERAL COUNSEL'S BRIEF** 12 **Table of Contents** 13 I. 14 A. Introduction 2 15 B. Respondents Knowingly and Willfully Received Reimbursed Contributions to 16 VBFC in Violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441f 17 1. 18 2. Buchanan's Initial Fundraising and Instruction to Reimburse A 19 Contribution Despite a Warning that Reimbursing Contributions Was 20 Illegal....... 8 21 3. Buchanan Congressionul Canspaign Fundraising Challenges and Surge 22 of Cantributions from Buchanan Business Partners and Employees 9 23 4. Increasing Pressure on Buchanan Dealership Partners to Raise 24 Contributions and Authorization to Reimburse Cantributions...... 11 25 5. 26 6. Buchanon's Direction to Sam Kazran to Reimburse Contributions to 27 VBFC Using Hyundai of North Jacksonville Funds 16 28 7. 2006 Reimbursements of HNJ Employee Contributions to VBFC...... 19 29 8. 2007 HNJ Reimbursements of Contributions to VBFC.......22 30 9. Total HNJ Contributions in the Names of Others......23 31 10. Kazran's Documented Requests in 2008 for Buchanan to Repay the 32 HNJ Funds Used to Reimburse HNJ Employee Contributions 33 Corroborate his Testimony that Bucloman Authorized the 34 Reimbursements......23 Buchanan's Demand that Kazran Sign a False Affidavit About the 35 11. Reimbursements and Kazran's Refusal To Do So......29 36 37 C. Buchanan's Denials and Those of His Close Associates Are Not Credible 32

MUR 6054 General Counsel's Brief (Representative Vernon G. Buchanan et al.) Page 2

1 2 3	1. Inconsistencies Whether and How Often Buchanan Attended Partner Meetistgs stril Whether Buchanan's Campaign Was Discussed at the Partner Meetings33
4 5	2. Inconsistencies Whether Buchanan Asked Kazran to Fundraise for VBFC34
6 7	3. Inconsistencies Whether Buchanan Knew How Much his Partners, Including Kazran, Had Raised for VBFC36
8 9	4. Inconsistencies Whether Partners, Including Kazran, Were Instructed Not to Reimburse Contributions
10 11 12	5. Inconsistencies Regarding the Assertion that Kazran Threatened to Falsely Claim that Buchanan Authorized Him to Reimburse Contributions Unless Buchanan Paid him \$500,000
13 14	6. Inconsistencies Regarding Buchanan's Discussions with Kazrun Abaut Reimbursements44
15	7. Inconsistencies About the False Affidavit46
16	D. Respondents' Violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441f Were Knowing and Willful 47
17 18	E. Respondents Knowingly and Willfully Reseived Excessive Contributions in Violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f)
19	II. CONCLUSION51
20 21 22	I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE
23 24	A. Introduction
25	This matter concerns campaign contributions received by Vern Buchanan for Congress
26	("VBFC" or "Committee") during the 2006 and 2008 election cycles that were reimbursed with
27	the funds of car dealerships in which Representative Vernon Burhanan ("Buchanan") holds, or
28	previously held, a majority ownership interest. This matter came before the Commission as a
29	result of a complaint filed by Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington alleging that
30	contributions to VBFC by employees at one Buchanan dealership, 1099 L.C. d/b/a Venice
31	Nissan ("VN") had been reimbursed, and a sua sponte submission by VBFC disclosing that it

13

14

15

- 1 had learned that contributions to VBFC by employees of another Buchanan dealership, 11-2001
- 2 LLC d/b/a Hyundai of North Jacksonville ("HNJ"), had also been reimbursed.
- 3 On March 17, 2010, the Commission found reason to believe that Rep. Vernon G.
- 4 Buchanan, Vern Buchanan for Congress, and Joseph Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer,
- 5 knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f and 441a(f) based on information that
- 6 Buchanan instructed his operating partner at HNJ, Sam Kazran, to use HNJ funds to reimburse
- 7 contributions to VBFC and that Karran did so through undisclosed reimbursements from HNJ to
- 8 the individual contributors. The evidence compiled in the course of the Commission's
- 9 investigation, comprising documents and sworn witness testimony, establishes that there is
- probable cause to believe that respondents knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f
- and 441a(f). The evidence included testimony that:

agreement and forwarded it to his attorney. Id.

As early as 2003, while he acted as a fundraiser but before his first congressional
candidacy, Buchanan authorized a business partner to use company funds to reimburse
the partner's federal contribution, despite being told by his companies' controller,
Salvatore Rosa, that it was illegal to do so;

Although VBFC's submission was styled as a sua sponte submission, VBFC did not admit (and has not admitted since) that it knowingly received reimbursed contributions in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441f or that it had otherwise violated any provision of the Act. Rather, it stated only that "relevant information" came to light during threatened commercial litigation between Buchanan and Sam Kazran, the person who operated HNJ. VBFC Sua Sponte at 1. "Specifically, Mr. Buchanan's representatives received information in September 2008 that contributions from certain HNJ employees and their family members were reimbursed by the business." Id. Kazran's testimony in a subsequent deposition implicated not only Buchanan but also himself. According to Buchanan, who testified on June 28, 2010, he and Kazran recently resumed negotiations over their business disputes. Buchanan Depo at 200-201. Buchanan testified that although he had not talked to Kazran in two years; his former Chief Operating Officer, Denais Slater, volunteered to negotiate an agreement with Kazran for him. Id. at 200. Shortly before Buchanan testified, Slater presented Bughanan with an agreement signed by Kazran. Id. at 201. Buchanan did not read the

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Buchanan's political consultant advised him, and Buchanan informed his business
 partners, that his congressional campaign would be judged based on his ability to raise
 funds from individual contributors rather than his ability to self-finance his campaign;
- Buchanan pressured his minor partners in car dealerships he owned to contribute to his
 political campaigns and to raise contributions to VBFC from their employees;
 - At the Buchanan partners' meeting in 2005 in which Buchanan announced his candidacy
 to his partners, his Chief Operating Officer, Dennis Slater, instructed Buchanan's partners
 to reimburse employee contributions to VBFC if the employees could not afford to make
 the contributions themselves;
- During his campaigns in the 2006 and 2008 election cycles, Buchanan told Sam Kazran,
 his partner at HNJ, to reimburse contributions to VBFC with HNJ funds;
- SunCoast Ford ("SCF"), another Buchanan dealership, reimbursed employee
 contributions to VBFC in March 2007 and, despite VBFC's knowledge of these
 reimbursements, it did not disclose them to the Commission;
 - In October 2008, Buchanan and the chief executive officer of his businesses, John Tosch, pressured Kazran to sign a false affidavit stating that Buchanan was unaware of the reimbursed contributions at HNJ; and
 - Although VBFC disclosed to the Commission in October 2008 that HNJ employee
 contributions to VBFC had been reimbursed by Kazran, VBFC did not disclose that
 Buchanan authorized the reimbursements and, therefore, that VBFC (through Buchanan)
 had knowingly received reimbursed contributions.

1 Although Buchanan denied instructing Kazran to reimburse contributions or knowing that 2 Kazran had done so, his testimony and the testimony of his closest associates are not credible 3 because they are internally inconsistent, inconsistent with each other, inconsistent with the testimony of Buchanan's former business partners and VBFC's campaign manager/treasurer, and 4 5 inconsistent with documents produced in response to the Commission's subpoenas. Therefore, 6 there is probable cause to believe that Vernon G. Buchanun, Vern Buchanan for Congress, and Joseph Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer, knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. 7 8 § 441f and 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f). 9 Respondents Knowingly and Willfully Received Reimbursed Contributions B. 10 to VBFC in Violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441f 11 12 The investigation of this matter reveals that Respondents Vernon G. Buchanan, Vern 13 Buchanan for Congress and Joseph Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer, knowingly and 14 willfully received contributions in the name of another in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441f. 15 Specifically, Buchanan, the majority partner in HNJ and, later, a person to whom Kazran was heavily indebted, solicited Kazran to raise funds for VBFC and advised him to reimburse 16 17 contributions using HNJ funds starting in November of 2005. 18 The Act defines "contribution" as anything of value made by any person for the purpose 19 of influencing any election for federal office. 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A)(i). Under the Act, no person shall make a contribution in the name of another person or knowingly permit his or her name to 20 21 be used to effect such a contribution. 2 U.S.C. § 441f; 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(i). This 22 prohibition further provides that no person shall knowingly help or assist any person in making a 23 contribution in the name of another. 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii). Contributions in the name of another include giving money, all or part of which is provided to the contributor by another 24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 person (the true contributor) without disclosing the source of money to the recipient candidate or

2 committee at the time the contribution is made. 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(2)(i). The Act also

3 prohibits any person from knowingly accepting a contribution made by one person in the name

4 of another person. 2 U.S.C. § 441f. In addition, any candidate who receives a contribution in

connection with a campaign shall be considered as having received the contribution as an agent

6 of his or her authorized committee. 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(2).

As will be shown in the following subsections, Buchanan was the majority owner of car dealerships operated by his minor partners and had the power to offer them greater business opportunities or end their partnerships. While fundraising before his 2006 congressional campaign, Buchanan authorized a business partner to reimburse a federal contribution despite being told that doing so was illegal. When Buchanan launched his 2006 congressional campaign, one of his top executives told his partners to reimburse contributions to VBFC, and Buchanan personally asked Kazran to reimburse contributions to VBFC using HNJ funds.

Kazran reimbursed \$67,900 in contributions from 2005 through 2007 and expected Buchanan to eventually repay HNJ for the dealership's funds used to reimburse the contributions. When Buchanan and Kazran's business relationship was coming to an end in September 2008 and they were attempting to resolve their obligations to one another, Kazran requested that Buchanam repay HNJ for the reimbursements. In response, Buchanan demanded that Kazran sign an affidavit that falsely claimed that Buchanan was unaware of HNJ's reimbursement of contributions to VBFC.

Buchanan's Power to Reward or Remove His Minor Partners 1 1. 2 In 2004 and 2005, Buchanan had approximately 50 businesses and, at all relevant times, 3 was the majority owner of approximately fifteen to seventeen car dealerships, primarily located 4 in Florida. Buchanan Depo at 10-11; House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Reports for 5 Vernon Buchanan (May 11, 2006). Each car dealership was typically structured as a limited 6 liability company owned by a company that Buchanan fully owned and a business partner who 7 was responsible for the daily operation of the dealership. Slater Depo at 12-16. 8 All of the Buchanan companies that held Buchanan's controlling ownership share of the 9 dealerships were run from a single corporate office by a common set of managers. Id. at 12-15. 10 The common executives who managed Buchanan's controlling interest in the dealerships 11 included John Tosch as Chief Executive Officer and Dennis Slater as Corporate Controller and, 12 later, Chief Operating Officer. Id. at 7-8, 26. Tosch was responsible for the day-to-day 13 operation of Buchanan's business affairs, including working directly with Buchanan's partners. 14 Buchanan Depo at 19. Slater's duties included acting as a liaison between the operating partners and Buchanan's central corporate office, as well as ensuring or enforcing proper operating 15 16 procedures, tonducting performance reviews, and cliairing the monthly partners' meetings. 17 Slater Depo at 9-10. According to Slater, who worked for Buchanan from approximately 2003 through November 2006, Buchanan, Tosch, and Slater met every Monday. Slater Depo at 7-8, 18

60.² Tosch and Slater met or spoke on a daily basis. *Id.* at 46. When Buchanan gave people

² Buchanan testified that he met with Tosch once per week, Buchanan Depo at 22-23, but, as for Slater, Buchanan testified that he only met with Slater occasionally because "it wasn't – you know, the way we were structured, John [Tosch] kind of worked with everybody day-to-day and kind of reported in to me." *Id.* at 24-25; *see also* id. at 52-53 (Slater reported to another manager, who reported to Tosch).

instructions, he expected them to do what was necessary to carry them out and was not concerned about the details. Tosch Depo at 147; see also Buchanan Depo at 13.

Buchanan had the ability to reward his partners by offering them a greater share of a dealership or letting them buy another dealership. Buchanan Depo at 14-15. "[T]he people that did a good job got more opportunities." *Id.* at 15. Some of the partners purchased their shares of the dealership using bank loans if they were able, but in some cases "we would go behind them and work out a deal with the bank that if they didn't pay it or if something happened, then we would pick that up." *Id.* at 16. According to one former Buchanan partner, most of Buchanan's partners never would have gotten a business of their own without Buchanan and they may have felt obligated to him because "they were put in business by him." Silverio Depo at 52.

Buchanan could also choose to end his partnerships, and did so. Slater Depo at 20-21.

2. Buchanan's Initial Fundraising and Instruction to Reimburse A
Contribution Despite a Warning that Reimbursing Contributions Was
Illegal

Buchanan testified that he has been helping candidates who are "pro small business" for 20 years. Buchanan Depo at 30. In the early 2000's, Buchanan called Sal Rosa, Buchanan's companies' controller, and instructed Rosa to help Don Jenkins, President of V.B. Investments, receive a reimbursement for a political contribution Jenkins had made using the funds of V.B. Investments, of which Buchanan owned 51%. Rosa Depo at 21. Rosa, a former treasurer of a federal political committee, informed Buchanan that what he was asking Rosa to do was illegal, but Buchanan replied, "Finesse it," and ended the conversation. *Id.* at 21-22. Rosa testified that, based on his experience working with Buchanan, "finesse it" meant that Rosa had to make the reimbursement happen and find a way to mask it in the dealership records. *Id.* at 21. Buchanan

early as 2005. Gruters Depo at 25-26.

identified Jenkins as Kazran's predecessor as the operating partner at HNJ. Buchanan Depo at
84. According to Rosa, when he called Jenkins, Jenkins told Rosa that he had already "had it
handled." Rosa Depo at 22. When asked whether Rosa had ever advised him that reimbursing
campaign contributions was illegal, Buchanan testified that Rosa "might have said something in
passing" but that he did not remember Rosa saying anything. Buchanan Depo at 74.
3. Buchanan Congressional Campaign Fundraising Challenges and Surge of Contributions from Buchanan Business Partners and Employees
On July 1, 2005, VBFC filed its Statement of Organization, and Buchanan filed his
Statement of Candidacy with the Commission. The primary election was not held until
September 5, 2006. Three pressures motivated Buchanan's fundraising appeals to his partners:
(1) the need to demonstrate that he could raise contributions from a number of people rather than
merely funding his campaign with his own money; (2) the need to meet quarterly fundraising
goals; and (3) the close fundraising competition between Buchanan and his rival in the 2006
primary.
According to Kazran, when a partner asked Buchanan why he did not use his own money
for his campaign, Buchanan explained that doing so would not look as impressive as if the
money were raised from others. Kazran Depo at 17-18, 43-44. Silverio also testified that
Buchanan told partners he could only put up a certain amount and the rest had to be raised.
Silverio Depo at 43. Kazran and Silverio's testimony are corroborated by the sworn testimony of
Joseph Gruters, Buchanan's political consultant, campaign manager, and the current VBFC
treasurer, who testified that he told Buchanan these things during his 2006 campaign, possibly as

Kazran testified that Buchanan repeatedly asked for contributions, noting that "it's the 1 2 end of the quarter, we need to have money[.]" Kazran Depo at 8, 46. Kazran's description of 3 Buchanan's pressure to raise contributions to meet a quarterly goal is corroborated by the testimony of Gruters, who was a consultant (until May of 2006) before he became a VBFC 4 political director/coordinator, and later became Buchanan's campaign manager (2007/2008) and 5 6 treasurer (2009). Gruters testified that the campaign's professional fundraisers were responsible 7 for initially setting the campaign's fundraising targets or goals, but then the goals would be 8 reviewed by the "campaign team," which included Buchanan. Gruters Depo at 53-54. 9 According to Gruters, "in terms of the fundraising for the campaign committee, we did 10 everything by quarters." Id. at 107. "You know, if we decided to say whatever our goal was, we 11 would ask whoever was helping us out with fundraising, what we would expect to raise this 12 quarter." Id. Gruters explained that "you have certain expenses going out each quarter and you'd want 13 to make sure that you have enough revenue coming in to meet the various expenses." Id. at 115. 14 15 VBFC made projections based on the amount of fundraising expected by the end of a quarter to 16 help guide the campaign's expenditures. *Id.* at 115-116. "We did try to raise a certain amount 17 each quarter overall." Id. at 116. Buchanan also testified that he communicated with his partners about fundraising targets, telling them "look, we're trying to raise money, here's the 18 objectives, you know." Buchanan Depo at 40. 19 20 Buchanan may also have been influenced by the closeness of the primary contest. 21 Buchanan and four other candidates, including Tramm Hudson, were competing in the Republican primary election, which took place on September 5, 2006. Buchanan eventually won 22

1	the primary with 32% of the vote, with his two closest rivals (Hudson and Nancy Detert) each
2	getting approximately 24% of the vote.
3	The first reporting period for VBFC was the period from July 1, 2005 through September
4	30, 2005. As of September 22, 2005, Buchanan had raised \$296,000, which was less than
5	Hudson. Jeremy Wallace, Buchanan Workers Tell of Donation Pressure, Sarasota Herald
6	Tribune, July 24, 2008, available at
7	http://www.heraldtribune.com/article/20080724/ARTICLE/807240383; see also VBFC 000902
8	(email from Joseph Gruters to Buchanan forwarding article). VBFC disclosed that in the final
9	week of September 2005, it received an additional \$290,000, of which over \$100,000 was
10	contributed by Buchanan employees and their family members. Id. This surge of support from
11	Buchanan employees coincides with the period of time in which, as discussed in greater detail
12	below, former Buchanan partner Steve Silverio testified that Buchanan informed his partners that
13	he was running for Congress and Buchanan's COO, Dennis Slater, suggested that Buchanan's
14	partners use company funds to reimburse employee contributions to VBFC. As discussed below
15	in section I.B.6, it is also shortly before Buchanan asked Kazran to raise contributions by using
16	HNJ funds to reimburse employees.
17 18 19	4. Increasing Pressure on Buchanan Dealership Partners to Raise Contributions and Authorization to Reimburse Contributions
20	Buchanan personally informed his auto dealership partners at a partners' meeting in
21	August or September 2005 that he would be running for Congress. Silverio Depo at 26-27, 34.
22	He also solicited their contributions and asked for their help in raising money. Kazran Depo at
23	7-12, 14-15, 17; Silverio Depo at 34.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Former Buchanan partner Steve Silverio testified that at the partners' meeting in August or September 2005, Buchanan announced his candidacy to the partners, gave a short campaign speech, and solicited contributions. Silverio Depo at 26-27, 32, 34-35, 37, 44-48. Buchanan "thought it was a good idea to start with the contributions right here with all the partners that knew him and somewhat he felt believed in him." Id. at 34. Buchanan told the partners they could contribute up to \$8,400 per household. Id. et 37. Another person from the campaign that Buchanan brought with him repeated what Buchanan said and explained it in more detail. Id. at 38-39. The partners were told that they would have time to think about making contributions and that somebody would be calling to find out when they could pick up a check. Id. at 40. When one partner asked whether they had to contribute the maximum amount, Buchanan answered that they did not if they could not afford it, but "I would ask you to dig as deep as you can and help me with this." Silverio Depo at 42. The partners were told that Buchanan could fund his campaign up to a limited amount but the remainder needed to come from contributions. Id. at 43. Buchanan and the campaign person specifically told the partners that they could solicit contributions from dealership employees. *Id.* at 50. At a lunch after the meeting, Silverio and other partners sat near John Tosch, the CEO of Buchanan's businesses, and Dennis Slater, the COO of Buchanan's businesses. Id. at 44-46. There was a discussion about asking dealership employees to contribute. Id. at 44-45. A lot of partners felt "uncomfortable" with raising contributions. Id. at 46. One partner asked, "[I]f our employees don't have the money, what are we going to do[?]" Id. at 44. According to Silverio, Dennis Slater suggested that they reimburse the employees through payroll and said, "get your

17

18

20

21

22

1 employees to donate 100, 200, \$50, whatever, and reimburse them back through payroll if you

- 2 had to." *Id.* at 44-46, 65.
- 3 Silverio, who testified that he "didn't know the rules or the laws on campaign
- 4 contributions and how you go about it," told Slater that he would not reimburse his employees'
- 5 contributions to VBFC because "it just doesn't seem right." Id. at 46-47. Slater responded that
- 6 Silverio did not have to reimburse contributions but it was "an alternative to where if it's tough
- 7 on your employees." Id at 47. While Slater advised Buchanan's partners to reimburse their
- 8 employee's contributions to VBFC, John Tosch "just sat there." Id.
- 9 Former Buchanan partner David Long, Buchanan's partner and the general manager at 10 Sarasota Ford until around the time of Buchanan's election in 2006, also testified that Buchanan discussed his campaign at the partner meetings, and Long "vaguely remember[ed]" that 12 Buchanan "talk[ed] about the money that needed to be raised and wanted to be raised or was 13 hopefully being raised," and that "he [Buchanan] needed to raise money." Long Depo at 57-58. Steve Silverio, whose partnership with Buchanan ended in the Spring of 2006, testified that he 14 thought Buchanan attended over 90% (22 of 24) of the monthly partner meetings that were held 15 16 during his partnership. Silveria Depo at 17. Joseph Grutars, a political comsultant for Buchanac's 2006 campaign, the campaign manager for his 2008 campaign, and the current treasurer of VBFC, also testified that he thought Buchanan met with his partners once every 19 month, Gruters Depo at 32. Gruters testified that Buchanan had him give campaign updates at

partner meetings once or twice, but otherwise Gruters never participated because Buchanan

"wanted to have a closed door with his partners." Id. Gruters testified that he gave the partners

one update "some time after May of '06, prior to the primary election, or maybe once during the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 primary and once during the general." Id. at 51. Dennis Slater, as Buchanan's corporate

2 controller and, later, COO from approximately 2003 through 2006, chaired the Buchanan partner

3 meetings, Slater Depo at 7-8, 10. Slater testified that although Buchanan's campaign was not on

the "agenda" for the partner meetings, he was "sure" that Buchanan discussed his campaign's

5 fundraising needs with his partners. *Id.* at 47-57.

According to Kazran, as time went along, Buchanan's "intensity . . . with raising funds gr[ew]." Kazran Depo at 10, 46. Kazran testified that Buchanan "always talked about how he needed to raise 3 million, then it went to 4 million, then it went to 5 million when the campaign got closer to the actual election date." *Id.* at 18.³ It "got to a point where the partners were just joking around, we would walk in a meeting and partners would talk about it, 'Well, how much did he hit you for? I'm not going to make any money this month so I won't be able to provide any money." *Id.* at 12-13, 46. "[Buchanan] would talk with me, tell me how much money I needed to send and by when." *Id.* at 24. Then someone from the campaign would make a follow up call to ensure the check was on its way and, "if the check was an hour late, they would call and apply serious pressure on sending that money." *Id.* Kazran thought that the partners considered their efforts for Buchanan's campaign to be a favor for Buchanan at first, but "after a while everybody was just kind of fed up with it." *Id.* at 46-47.

David Long, Bushanun's partoer and the general manager at Saranota Ford during the 2005-2006 election cycle, testified that he felt that it was "expected that I contribute . . . that's

³ This testimony appears to be corroborated by VBFC's disclosure reports that show Buchanan's campaign raised approximately \$3 million by August 26, 2006 (the end of the pre-primary reporting period), \$3.8 million by September 30, 2006 (the end of the October quarterly reporting period), and \$5 million by October 18, 2006 (the end of the pre-general reporting period).

18

19

20

21

22

29, 2005.

where I felt compelled I remember the overriding feeling was I've got to contribute." Long 1 2 Depo at 60. Although Long initially raised contributions for VBFC because he wanted to make 3 Buchanan proud, to show his appreciation, and because he wanted Buchanan's approval, 4 recognition, acceptance, and appreciation, he eventually became "resentful" about raising funds 5 for Buchanan because he thought his "time would be better appropriated selling cars." Id. at 60, 6 62-63, 94-95. "It became more of a pain" as Long put more time into it and started receiving 7 calls, letters, and visitors critical of Buchanan's politics from people indicating that they would 8 not buy cars at Sarasota Ford. Id at 94-95. Long stated that when he agreed to be Buchanan's 9 partner, "being in politics was never part of our conversation." Id. at 92-93. 10 Steve Silverio, a former Buchanan partner, testified that Buchanan aggressively pressured 11 him to contribute. "Vern called me and said we're getting towards, close here, Steve, what have 12 you decided[?]" Silverio Depo at 48. When Silverio told Buchanan that he was still thinking 13 about making a contribution but did not know how much he would contribute, Buchanan 14 responded, "Steve, out of all my partners besides Kevin [Brodsky], you can certainly afford the top amount, 8,400." Id. Silverio protested that Buchanan did not know his personal finances or 15 16 his future expenses and that it should be left to his own discretion. Id. After that, "He called me again, called me again. He sent over a runner. I wrote the check." Id. According to the VBFC

> 5. Reimbursed Contributions at SunCoast Ford

In 2007, another Buchanan dealership, SunCoast Ford, reimbursed contributions to VBFC made by its operating partner, Gary Scarbrough, and three employees. Each contributed

October 2005 Quarterly disclosure report, Silverio contributed \$4,200 to VBFC on September

1	\$4,600, for a total of \$18,400. According to a sworn affidavit submitted by Kenneth Lybarger,
2	who was the controller at SunCoast Ford at the time of the contributions, Scarbrough ordered
3	him to arrange the contributions and reimbursements in March of 2007, after Scarbrough
4	returned from a meeting. Lybarger Aff. at 1. Scarbrough testified in a deposition that he did not
5	remember what happened. Scarbrough Depo at 13-16; 33-34. Ed Schmidt, aπ auditor from
6	Buchanan's corporate office, discovered that SunCoast Ford reimbursed employee contributions
7	and thun Buchanan and VBFC were notified. Buchanan Depo at 67; Lyharger Aff. at 1. On
8	June 18, 2007, VBFC refunded all of the reimbursed contributions. VBFC July 15, 2008
9	Quarterly Report. VBFC never notified the Commission that SCF had reimbursed these
10	contributions.
11 12	6. Buchanan's Direction to Sam Kazran to Reimburse Contributions to VBFC Using Hyundai of North Jacksonville Funds
13 14	Kazran testified that in November 2005, Buchanan told him that he had to gather as much
15	money as possible and that "I'm going to put your name on \$50,000 that you've got to raise by
16	the end of the quarter." Kazran Depo at 11. Buchanan also told him to reimburse his
17	employees' contributions with HNJ funds: "And he specifically told me get someone you trust
18	and run it through the corporation." Id. at 21. Kazran explained that "run it through the
19	corporation" meant that Kazran should have employees write personal checks and then give
20	them back the money using dealership funds. Id. at 14, 37, 72. Kazran expected Buchanan to
21	pay those funds back to the dealership, id. at 22, and, as discussed below, asked Buchanan to
22	repay the HNJ reimbursement money in 2008.
23	Acting on Buchanan's instruction to reimburse employee contributions, Kazran arranged

for employees to contribute to VBFC and for their contributions to be reimbursed using HNJ

- funds. Kazran Depo at 21. In total, HNJ reimbursed \$16,800 in contributions in 2005, all dated
- 2 November 29, 2005. All of the employee contributions Kazran arranged in 2005 were
- 3 reimbursed using company funds. *Id.* at 27, 33, 53.
- 4 According to Kazran, Buchanan instructed him to make sure the personal checking
- 5 accounts on which the contributors' checks were written had both the husband and wife's name
- on them. Id. at 22, 28. With regard to a relmbursed contribution to VBFC made in the name of
- 7 Vincent and Patricia Sams, Kazum testified, "That was one of the things that I was told you got
- 8 to make sure there's two people on the check to get the most amount." Id. at 28; see also id.
- 9 at 11 (Buchanan said that Kazran's own contribution could be approximately \$9,000 because
- 10 Kazran and his wife shared a checking account).4
- 11 Kazran's account is corroborated by Joshua Farid, Kazran's business partner and brother-
- in-law. According to Farid, Kazran told him in 2005 that Buchanan told Kazran to raise funds
- 13 for VBFC by asking dealership employees to contribute to VBFC and then reimbursing those
- 14 contributions with HNJ funds. Farid Aff. at 1. Farid also described in a sworn affidavit a
- 15 conversation that he overheard between Kazran and Buchanan in 2005 that corroborates
- 16 Kuzran's testimony. Id. According to Farid, Buchanan stated that he needed Kazran to raise
- 17 \$50,000 in contributions for his campaign for Congress. Id. Kaznan told Buchanan that he had
- 18 already made the maximum allowable contribution to the campaign. 5 Id Buchanan then told

⁴ Buchanan testified that he told his partners about gathering contributions on joint checking accounts in order to maximize contributions. Buchanan Depo at 64. He also recalled "having to tell people" about the use of joint checking accounts for contributions. *Id.* at 97. Buchanan then testified that he did not tell his partners who were fundraising for him about the use of joint checking accounts. *Id.* at 98.

⁵ According to VBFC disclosure reports, Kazran (also identified as Sam Khazrawan) contributed \$4,200 to VBFC on July 25, 2005.

MUR 6054 General Counsel's Brief (Representative Vernon G. Buchanan et al.) Page 18

1 Kazran to have his employees contribute to the campaign and reimburse them for their

2 contributions with HNJ funds. 6 Id. at 1.

Kazran acted on Buchanan's instruction to reimburse contributions, and other witnesses corroborated Kazran's testimony through their accounts of Kazran's actions. Kazran testified that after Buchanan told him to reimburse HNJ employee contributions, he contacted his office manager, Diana Smith, and his controller, Gail Lephart, and instructed them to write personal checks to VBFC and then to reimburse themselves. Kazran Depo at 21. As controller, Lephart is the dealership executive who could issue checks. *Id.* at 21. The first time Kazran told Lephart to use dealership checks to reimburse contributions, he told her that they would be getting money back from Buchanan. *Id.* at 22. "I said, [']I don't know when, he just asked me to do it.[']" *Id.* at 22. According to Kazran, HNJ gave employees the reimbursements at the same time as their contributions because they did not have enough money in their accounts to cover the contributions. *Id.* at 27-28.

Lephart also stated in an affidavit that just before she made a contribution to VBFC on November 29, 2005, Kazran walked into her office talking on his cell phone. Lephart Aff. at 1.

Lephart recalled Kazran stating something close to "Vern, I'll handle it now," and Lephart assumed he was talking to Buchanan. *Id.* According to Lephart, Kazran told Lephart immediately after anding the call that he needed her to make a contribution to VBFC. *Id.*Lephart stated that Kazran also specified the amount she needed to contribute, using a personal check. *Id.* Kazran also said that she should reimburse herself for the full amount of the

contribution using HNJ funds. Id. Further, Kazran asked Lephart to find other HNJ employees

⁶ Farid and his wife contributed \$8,400 to VBFC on March 31, 2006, according to VBFC disclosure reports.

1	to make similar contributions and to reimburse those contributions, as well as her own, through
2	the HNJ payroll account. Id. Lephart stated that she created entries in the HNJ payroll account
3	listing the reimbursements as salary payments, which included income tax withholding. Id.
4	Kazran testified that he told Lephart that they would be getting the money back from Buchanan.
5	Kazran Depo at 22. Kazran told her, "I don't know when, he just asked me to do it." Id.
6	Lephart contributed in her own name and her husband's name. Lephart Aff. at 1.
7	Lephart also recalled creating the reimbursement check for Diana Smith. Id. According to
8	VBFC's disclosure reports, Gayle and Ernest Lephart contributed a total of \$8,400 on November
9	29, 2005, and Diana and Gary Smith also contributed a total of \$8,400 on November 29, 2005.
10	During the investigation, we obtained copies of the HNJ checks that Lephart used to reimburse
11	her and Smith's contributions. See Tosch Depo Docs 000026-27.7
12	Kazran instructed Lephart to send the contributions by overnight delivery to Diane
13	Mitchell. Lephart Aff. at 1. Mitchell is the assistant to John Tosch, the CEO of Buchanan's
14	companies. 'Tosch Depo at 10.
15	7. 2006 Reimbursements of HNJ Employee Contributions to VBFC
16 17	HNJ reimbursed a total of \$32,700 in contributions to VBFC in 2006, comprising
18	contributions made in the name of four couples in January, March, and June of 2006. Kazran
19	used HNJ funds to reimburse contributions totaling \$7,500 made in the names of Vincent Sams

and his wife in January 2006, and contributions totaling \$8,400 made in the names of Joshua

⁷ Lephart contributed in her own name and her husband's name. According to VBFC's disclosure reports, Gayle and Ernest Lephart contributed a total of \$8,400 on November 29, 2005, and Diana and Gary Smith also contributed a total of \$8,400 on November 29, 2005. During the investigation, we obtained copies of the HNJ checks that Lephart used to reimburse her and Smith's contributions. See Tosch Depo Docs 000026-27.

Farid and his wife on March 31, 2006. Kazran Depo at 22, 27-28; VBFC April 2006 Quarterly
Report.

Kazran also testified that there was a connection between the \$16,800 in contributions 3 4 made by HNJ employees Joseph Cutaia and Eric Khazravan and their spouses on June 28, 2006, 5 and a business transaction Kazran was negotiating with Buchanan at that time. Kazran Depo at 6 34-36; see also Tosch Depo Docs 000024-25 (reimbursement checks signed by Lephart and 7 made payable to Joseph Cutain and Eric Khazravan for the contributions made in their names 8 and those of their wives). Dodge had awarded a new dealership to Kazran at a location called 9 Gwinnett Place, but under his partnership agreement, Buchanan had the right of first refusal. 10 Kazran Depo at 53. According to Kazran, Buchanan used that right to force Kazran to give Buchanan 51% ownership in Gwinnett Dodge. Id.. When Kazran later offered to purchase 11 12 Buchanan's share of Gwinnett Dodge, Buchanan told Kazran that he would have to pay \$1,000,000 to Buchanan. Id. Kazran wanted to pay a lower amount, and he wanted to pay in 13 14 installments because he did not have the money. Id. at 13, 35. In exchange for agreeing to allow 15 Kazran to purchase Buchanan's share in installments, Buchanan wanted Kazran to commit money to VBFC. Id. at 53. Buchanan told Kazran to raise \$25,000 or \$50,000 (Kazran could 16 not remomber which) by the end of that week, which was June 30, 2006. Kazan Depo at 35; see 17 also id. at 13. According to Kazran, when he explained that he did not have the money 18 19 Buchanan wanted, Buchanan again told him to use a trusted employee to run the contributions 20 through the corporation. Id. at 36; see also id. at 13. According to the VBFC sua sponte 21 submission, two HNJ employees (Joseph Cutaia and Eric Khazravan) and their spouses each

.12

1 contributed \$4,200 to VBFC on June 28, 2006, for a total of \$16,800, contributions that HNJ
2 reimbursed. Sua Sponte Submission at 1-2.

In his affidavit, Joshua Farid largely corroborated Kazran's account of this discussion with Buchanan about Gwinnett Dodge. Farid stated that he witnessed a conversation between Kazran and Buchanan regarding political contributions to Buchanan's congressional campaign and ongoing negotiations between Buchanan and Kazran regarding \$300,000 that Kazran needed to pay Buchanan for a Dorige dealership. Farid Aff. at 1. Farid stated that he herod Buchenan tell Kazran that he would agree to Kazran paying by installment rather than in one lump sum, but as a concession, Kazran would have to get more funds for Buchanan's campaign. *Id.* Farid stated that based on his conversation with Kazran, he understood that Buchanan wanted Kazran to again solicit employees to contribute to his campaign and reimburse them for their contributions using company funds. *Id.*

Kazran's testimony about the June 2006 reimbursements and the relationship between those reimbursements and his negotiations with Buchanan regarding the Gwinnett Dodge dealership is credible for several reasons. Kazran testified that his discussion with Buchanan about Gwinnett Place and the related contribution reimbursements took place on the last Tuesday in June of 2006. Kazran Depo at 35. The last Tuesday in June 2006, when Bunhanan solicited Kazran to raise and reimburse contributions, was June 27, 2006. Kazran's account is corroborated by a disclosure report that Buchanan filed with the House of Representatives that indicates that Kazran and Buchanan concluded their agreement for Kazran to purchase Buchanan's share of Gwinnett, L.L.C. on June 26, 2006. See Vern Buchanan House of Representatives Financial Disclosure Reports dated May 14, 2007. Kazran also testified that

contributions. See VBFC Sua Sponte.

1 Buchanan's need for contributions coincided with the end of a time period on Friday, June 30, 2 2006, that had some significance to his campaign, although Kazran did not understand exactly to 3 what Buchanan was referring. Kazran Depo at 35; see also id. at 13. Friday, June 30, 2006, was 4 significant because it was the close of the reporting period for the July 2006 Quarterly Report to 5 the Commission. Additionally, Gruters testified that VBFC had quarterly fundraising targets to 6 meet to ensure that there was enough revenue to meet VBFC's expenditures. Gruters Depo at 7 107, 115. 8 8. 2007 HNJ Reimbursements of Contributions to VBFC 9 HNJ funds were again used to reimburse contributions in 2007, totaling \$18,400. 10 According to Kazran, Buchanan always told Kazran that he was counting on him as the only person who could "raise this kind of money." Kazran Depo at 53. 11 12 According to Gayle Lephart, Sam Kazran approached her again in 2007 and explained 13 that employees needed to contribute to VBFC and be reimbursed through the company. Lephart Aff. at 1. Lephart told Kazran that she was upset about company funds being used to reimburse 14 15 contributions, but he only responded with a shrug. Id. Kazran corroborated Lephart's statement, testifying that he rucalled that there came a time in 2007 or 2008, when HNJ "was not doing very 16 good," when Lephart "was not very happy about us writing those large amount of checks" to 17 18 reimburse contributions to VBFC. See Kazran Depo at 22. 19 On December 31, 2007, Lephart and Joseph Cutaia made contributions to VBFC, using 20 checks with their spouses' names on them, in the amount of \$9,200 on each check, and Stephanie 21 Champ made a contribution to VBFC in the amount of \$4,600. HNJ reimbursed these

9.

1

2	VBFC disclosed in its sua sponte that it was aware of \$52,000 in reimbursed
4	contributions from HNJ employees. VBFC Sua Sponte at 2. In our investigation, we located a
5	total of \$67,900 in reimbursed contributions to VBFC using HNJ funds: \$16,800 in 2005,
6	\$32,700 in 2006, and \$18,400 in 2007.8 This \$67,900 figure is based on Kazran's testimony
7	about the reimbursed contributions, which corresponds closely with Kazran's estimate in an
8	email that he sent to John Tosch on October 5, 2008, in which Kazran estimated that the amount
9	of reimbursed contributions, minus unspecified credit card contributions, was \$70,000. See
10	Email from Sam Kazran to John Tosch, Buchanan's corporate CEO, dated October 5, 2008, at
11	Tosch Depo Doc 000056.
12 13 14	10. Kazran's Documented Requests in 2008 for Buchanan to Repay the HNJ Funds Used to Reimburse HNJ Employee Contributions Corroborate his Testimony that Buchanan Authorized the Reimbursements
15 16	A series of emails sent by Kazran and Farid to Buchanan and Tosch in August,
17	September, and October 2008 corroborates Kazran's testimony that at the time Buchanan was
18	directing him to reimburse contributions using the funds of the business they owned together,
19	Kazran expected Buchanan to repay those funds. During August - October 2008, HNJ was
20	having financial difficulties, the partnership between Buchanan and Kazran was coming to an
21	end, and Kazran asked Buchanan to pay back several hundred thousand dollars that Buchanan
22	had withdrawn from HNJ, as well as the HNJ funds used to reimburse employee contributions to
23	VBFC. Kazran Depo at 62-63. Kazran testified that he believed that Buchanan had withdrawn a

Total HNJ Contributions in the Names of Others

² The contributions to VBFC that HNJ reimbursed that were not included in VBFC's sua sponte are the contributions of Joshua Farid and his wife, made on March 31, 2006, totaling \$8,400, as well as contributions of Vincent Sams and his wife, made on January 2, 2006, totaling \$7,500.

1 total of \$800,000 to \$900,000 from HNJ without his knowledge. Id. at 54-55. Kazran explained 2 that at this point he did not want to be partners with Buchanan any further and wanted Buchanan 3 to buy Kazran's share of a Kia dealership that the two of them owned. Id. at 55. Consistent with 4 Kazran's testimony, the emails show that Kazran wanted Buchanan to repay the amount of the 5 company funds used to reimburse employee contributions to VBFC. According to Buchanan and 6 Tosch, Kazran was throatening to disclose the reimbursements in an effort to gain an additional 7 \$500,000 from Buchanan. See Tosch Depo at 66-87, 90-91; Buchanan Depo at 164-168. 8 August 26, 2008 Email from Kazran to Buchanan a. 9 10 On August 26, 2008, Kazran wrote in an email to Buchanan: "I have always gone the 11 extra mile for our partnership. I'm the only one in our group that has donated over 80k to 12 campaign." Tosch Depo Docs 000058-59. As noted in Section I.B.9, the investigation in this 13 matter identified \$67,900 in reimbursed contribution checks to VBFC. In his August 26, 2006, 14 email, Kazran appears to be trying to establish how hard he has worked for Buchanan and 15 continues to express a desire for an amicable and speedy end to their partnership: 16 I value my relationship with you and I look forward to extending our friendship. At this time I am certain we have reached the end of our partnership, it is my great hope to be 17 abln to have a [sic] amicable, clean and speedy axit strategy. 18 19 20 Tosch Depo Docs 000058. 21 The email is consistent with Kazran seeking repayment from Buchanan of the amount 22 HNJ spent on the reimbursements based on a prior understanding that Buchanan knew about the 23 reimbursements and would repay the dealership for its funds used to assist his campaign. 24 Furthermore, in his deposition, Kazran referred to this email and noted that the \$80,000 total did

not include a credit card contribution he made. Kazran Depo at 47. He also distinguished the

5

reimbursed contributions, which he expected Buchanan to repay, from his own contribution to VBFC, which he did not reimburse and which he did not expect Buchanan to repay. *Id.* at 49.

b. August 27, 2008 Email from Farid to Tosch

In an email addressed to Tosch from Joshua Farid, Kazran's business partner and brotherin-law, Farid criticized Buchanan's actions in the business dispute, and reproached Buchanan for
not helping him and Kazran financially after they had helped Buchanan, at Buchanan's request,
by contributing \$80,000 in dealership funds to Buchanan's oampaign. See Tosch Depo Docs
000001; see also Farid Aff. at Exhibit 1. "We have not only paid huge surus of money to Mr.
Buchanan for the Hyundai dealership but when Mr. Buchanan asked Sam for contribution [sic]
to his political campaign this dealership supported Mr. Buchanan's political campaign to a tune
of \$80k, some thing that I was opposed to." Id.

Farid's characterization of the contributions to VBFC as donation from HNJ is consistent with Buchanan requesting Kazran to reimburse contributions to VBFC with dealership funds; otherwise Kazran's reimbursement of HNJ employee contributions would not create a reciprocal obligation for Buchanan to help Kazran in his time of need. In his affidavit, Farid averred that he sent this email because he was upset with Kazran "being taken advantage of by Mr. Buchanan in a number of ways, which included the expectation that Mr. Kazran use funds from our company to reimburse employees for their contributions to Vern Buchanan for Congress." Farid Aff. at 1-2.

C.

1

27

2	·
3	On September 8, 2008, Kazran sent an email to Tosch, to which he attached copies of
4	\$52,000 in contribution checks to VBFC and the negotiated HNJ checks issued to reimburse
5	those contributions. See Tosch Depo Docs 000018-38. In the email, Kazran wrote:
6	this is the 1 st set of checks, there are more to follow, It gives me great regret to
7	have done this for Vern when he doesn't even hesitates [sic] for a second to sue
8	me and may wife over 20k Maybe he can consider taking part of this 80k+ as
9	one month of payment so my wife doesn't ery out of fean of lonsing [sic] our
10	home. I thank Vera for giving me permission to set aside my moral character
11	
12	Tosch Depo Doc 000028. Tosch alleged in his deposition that Kazran believed, and could not be
13	convinced otherwise, that Buchanan took funds out of the "store," that is, the HNJ dealership.
14	Tosch Depo at 68-69. According to Tosch, Kazran sent this email the same day or the day after
15	Buchanan's attorneys sent Kazran a demand letter seeking \$2.5 million, id. at 71. Tosch testified
16	that Kazran also called him and told him that if Buchanan sued him and his wife, he would
17	disclose that Buchanan told him to reimburse employees "and here are the checks." Id. In any
18	event, Kazran appears to have been attempting to demonstrate the amount of the reimbursements
19	at HNJ, consistent with his testimony that Buchanan authorized the use of HNJ funds to
20	reimburse HNJ employees' contributions to VBFC. After luarning of this email and Kazran's
21	statement to Tosch, Buchman decided to sue Kezran. Id. at 72.
22	d. October 1, 2008 Kazran Email
23 24	Kazran sent another email that also supports that the conclusion that Buchanan was aware
25	of the fact that the reimbursed contributions were part of the negotiations to settle his business
26	dispute with Kazran, and that Buchanan was involved in those negotiations. On October 1,

2008, Kazran wrote to an attorney representing Buchanan in the business matter about the

September 8, 2008 Email from Kazran to Tosch

1	possible terms of an agreement with Buchanan. See Email from Sam Kazran to Roger Gannam		
2	dated October 1, 2008 at Tosch Depo Docs 000048-49. In this email, Kazran wrote:		
3	Vern had mentioned he would want to reimburse the stores a bill that he and I		
4	spoke of, the total amount is \$83,500, He has copies of 52k, if he likes I can get		
5	the rest or he can verify through his record. This was at his request.		
6	are rest of the east verify through the resorts. This was at the request.		
7	See id. at Tosch Depo Docs 000049. This portion of an otherwise straightforward email about		
8	the necessary financing and collateral for an agreement between Buchanan and Kazran appears		
9	to refer to discussions directly between Buchanan and Kazran, and Buchanan's proposed		
10	repayment of HNJ for the contributions to VBFC that Sam Kazran reimbursed using HNJ funds.		
11	The "52k" figure quoted above matches the \$52,000 figure stated by VBFC in its sua sponte as		
12	the total amount of contributions to VBFC reimbursed by HNJ, and corresponds to the amount of		
13	the checks Kazran forwarded to Tosch with his September 8, 2008 email. See VBFC Sua Sponte		
14	at 2.		
15	e. October 5, 2008 Email from Kazran to Tosch		
16			
17	On October 5, 2008, Sam Kazran emailed John Tosch again and made further references		
18	to discussions he was having directly with Buchanan about Buchanan's repayment of the		
19	reimbursed contributions. Kazran wrote, among otlær things:		
20	Vern and I will talk about the last part without attornies[sic], I think I have a		
21	suggestion that will make him happy He wants to cut a check for all the		
22	amount, I have about 70k tracked down the rest are credit cards, if he wants to		
23	verify, I have to call the campaign manager to ask her for details, if you can		
24	have someone do that I would app[re]ciate it.		
25	rent a carrages an most a most a abbital and to		

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

- 1 Id. at Tosch Depo Docs 000056. The email also includes language showing that Kazran did not
- 2 want to hurt Buchanan politically and that Kazran was reacting to Tosch making a public
- 3 statement insinuating that Kazran's allegations were politically motivated. 9

f. <u>Buchanan's Voicemails Corroborate Kazran's Émails</u>

In Kazran's emails to Tosch, summarized above, Kazran indicated that he and Buchanan

7 had private discussions that included the issue of the reimbursements. Voice messages that

Burhanan left for Kazran during this period of negotiations corroborate Kazran's emails:

Sam, Vern. Sorry I didn't get your message, but, Sam, Mike Lindell [an attorney for Buchanan] told me the other day that you're going to sue us or threatening to sue us . . . I trust that you -- that everything is going to be great. And the bottom line. I understand people have problems, have challenges but, you know, this should be something we should be able to work out. We're willing to save what we got and work with you. But I think the threatening of the political stuff and all that, you got more liability than you know if you start telling people that you reimbursed people, because technically you have that liability. All I told you, and I've always made it clear is that you can't reimherse people. They've got to give it on their free will. You know that, So, and we're up 18 points, we're going to win the election anyway. But the bottom line is I think I've been your best friend, best asset. I heard the other day too that the banks were not shipping cars to Kia, and so that rumor is out there. And again, we're just trying to protect our interest and do what's right for us and ideally for you. And I think that it would be a good idea if we tried to figure out how to work together. . . . I think it's important that we sit down and work together and work this out. Give me a buzz. Thanks.

⁹ Kazran expressed to Tosch his surprise at reports about Tosch's public settement that Huchman's opponent in the 2006 and 2008 general elections, Christiae Jennings, had motivated Karran to file a lawsuit against Buchman and was meeting with Kazran to discuss Buchanan. See Email from Sam Kazran to John Tosch dated October 5, 2008 at Tosch Depo Docs 000052. Kazran asked to see Tosch's statement, stated that he had discussed being contacted by an attorney and CREW but not Jennings, and stated a preference for staying out of "political media." Id. "I am sure they are going to use anything I say against Vern and I do not want to get involved." Id. Kazran suggested that he and Tosch call or write Jennings together to say that "Vern and I have a good relationship and that we simply have a dispute[.]" Id. The Tosch statement that Kazran inquired about in his October 5, 2008, email is an affidavit signed by Tosch several weeks earlier, on September 17, 2008. See VBFC 001242. An article based in part on that affidavit was published in the Bradenton Herald on Friday, September 26, 2008. See VBFC 001217. Kazran later proposed a public statement denying any interactions with the Jennings campaign, noting his businers disputes with Buchman, and referencing an affidavit "pertaining to campaign conscibutions" that he was asked to sign. Saz Saza Kazran Email to John Tosch, October 19, 2008, at Tosch Depo Docs 000052-55. There is no indication that Kazran ever publicly issued such a statement.

Kazran Depo at 79-82. Buchanan appears to have left this voicemail for Kazran after Buchanan

- 3 sent his demand letter to Kazran on September 7 or 8, 2008, but before Kazran sued Buchanan
- 4 on September 25, 2008. In a second voice mail, Buchanan said:

Sam, it's Vern. I'm just calling to see how you made out with the bankers and the lawyers after I didn't hear anything. Again, I hope that we can work something out. I do believe there's a restructure that makes sense for everybody. That's the best path to take. Again, I've done that before in these situations. If you decide to go the other way, I hope that, you know, we tick about paying back and that gets off on a lot of tangents because that will only make it worse for everybody. And, you know. I tion't see how that helps you long-term. But again, I hope we can restructure it, and it will preserve your reputation there in Jacksonville. You don't want to go through two years of litigation. (Inaudible) So, you know, just make sure you get good advice, people that don't have an ax to grind, and you get good lawyers. Anybody that's got any sense, any lawyer is going to tell you get a restructuring done, get this recapitalized. Like I said, if I can find a way to help secure this, I'm willing to work with you. But again, we get down the road and things start to get, you know, personal and nasty, then I'm out. So give me a holler. I'm still hoping we can get something done. Give me a buzz when you get this. Bye-bye.

20 21 22

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

Id. at 83-84.10

11. Buchanan's Demand that Kazran Sign a False Affidavit About the Reimbursements and Kazran's Refusal To Do So

24 25 26

27

28

29

30

23

On October 1, 2008, Buchanan's attorney, Roger Gannam, sent Kazran a term sheet signed by Buchanan and Tosch that proposed an outline of an agreement to resolve all of their existing claims. See Letter from Roger K. Gannam to Sam Kazran dated October 1, 2008 at 1; see also Tosch Depo Docs at 000062-65 (unsigned draft of same). Kazran signed this term sheet. Id. Also on October 1, 2008, as discussed above in section I.B.10.d, Kazran sent Gannam

¹⁰ On October 20, 2010, coursel for Buchanan and VBFC provided to the Office of the General Coursel two documents that counsel said had been provided to them the day before by Kazran. One document, dated October 18, 2010, is a letter from Kazran addressed to "Mark," and the other document is styled as a court Complaint dated October 20, 2010, by Kazran against Buchanan and VBFC. Both documents contain descriptions of the activity in this matter that are consistent with Kazran's testimony and his communications during 2008.

1	an email at 6:33 p.m. in which he indicated that Buchanan wanted to repay HNJ for the funds
2	used to reimburse contributions to VBFC. The following day, Gannam sent Kazran a revised
3	term sheet, also signed by Buchanan and Tosch. Letter from Roger K. Gannam to Sam Kazran
4	dated October 2, 2008 ("Buchanan October 2, 2008 Term Sheet") at Tosch Depo
5	Docs 000066-72.
6	The Buchanan October 2, 2008 Term Sheet contains the same terms as the October 1,
7	2008 version hut added one new requirement. Paragraph 12 in the Buchanan October 2, 2008
8	Term Sheet required Kazran to sign an affidavit attached to the agreement. See October 2, 2008
9	Term Sheet at 4; Kazran Depo at 57, 59-60. Exhibit A of the Buchanan October 2, 2008 Term
10	Sheet, titled "Affidavit of Sam Kazran a/k/a Sam Khazrwan," included the statements:
11 12 13 14 15	4. During the course of tense and somewhat hostile negotiations between my lawyers and me, and representatives for Buchanan, I advised a representative of Buchanan that one or more of the dealerships of which I was in operational control had reimbursed certain individuals who had contributed to the Buchanan for Congress campaign.
16 17 18 19 20	5. Before September, 2008, neither I nor to my knowledge, any other person who had ever advised Buchanan or any of his representatives had any information that one or both of the dealerships referred to in 1 above [HNJ] reimbursed certain individuals for contributions made to the Vernon G. Buchanan for Congress campaign.
21 22	
23 24 25 26	7. No one has advised me that Buchanan or any representative of his knew of any intention, plan or arrangement by anyone to make a reimbursement, directly or indirectly, to a person in exchange for making a contribution to the Buchanan for Congress campaign.
27 28	Buchanan October 2, 2008 Term Sheet, Exhibit A, at 2.
29	According to Kazran, "right after he [Buchanan] signed the contract," Buchanan asked
30	him to come down to the Sarasota Ford dealership, and once Kazran arrived, Buchanan stated, "I
31	need a favor. I need you to sign this affidavit." Kazran Depo at 60-61. Buchanan explained that

MUR 60)54				
General	Counsel's Brief (Representative	Vernon G.	Buchanan	el	al.)
Page 31					

- 1 his attorney prepared the affidavit. Id. at 60. "He mentioned that there's so much heat and
- 2 lawsuits going on [sic] that he did not want any more of this." Id. at 61. Kazran testified that he
- 3 was initially excited to save the company but when he read the affidavit, he became
- 4 "uncomfortable." Id. at 61. Kazran testified:
 - A. ... But this affidavit basically wanted me to say that Vern had no idea about this and that I'm the one who did all of it, which is absolutely incorrect.
 - Q. When you say "about this," what are you referring to?
 - A. The campaign contributions. He wanted me to say that Vern had nothing to do with campaign contributions.

13 Id. at 60. Kazran testified that the statements in the affidavit that Buchanan did not know about
14 the reimbursements were incorrect and "an absolute lie." Id. at 70-72. According to Kazran,
15 Buchanan "made it a condition afterwards and he did – if I did not sign the affidavit, to blame
16 everything on me, then there would be no agreement and contract to purchase out the dealership
17 and give me back the money." Id. at 63.

Kazran testified that the agreement would have saved his company and almost 500 employees working there. Kazran Depo at 57. However, Kazran testified that his attorney advised him not to sign the affidavit, although Kazran stated that he "was so desperate to save [his] company that [he] probably would have signed it had it not been [for his] wife and [his] attorney." *Id.* at 60-62, 85-86. In fact, the same day that Buchanan's attorney sent the offer to Kazran, Kazran's attorney responded that there was "no chance" that he would advise Kazran to sign an affidavit that had "nothing to do with the business transaction at issue" and which was "factually inaccurate in many material respects." *See* Email dated October 2, 2008 from Steven Hutton to Roger Gannam and Jim Post, at Tosch Depo Docs at 000097. Tosch corroborated

1 Kazran's testimony, testifying that he understood that Kazran's attorney advised Kazran not to 2 sign the affidavit. Tosch Depo at 94.

According to Kazran, after he declined to sign the affidavit, Buchanan said they would discuss it and then began talking about how he was going to be governor "and I shouldn't be this -- I don't want him to be against me but on my side." Kazran Depo at 62. The following day, Tosch told Kazran that he was frustneted with him because Kazran would not stign the affidavit and told Kazran that he had five minutes to sign it or the deal was off. *Id.* at 62. Kazran summarized this episode in an email the following day, October 4, 2008. In this email, Kazran wrote to Tosch and Buchanan's attorneys that he felt "very uncomfortable" signing "this document with respect to campaign contribution," [sic] and did not understand why it had to be part of their settlement. *See* Email from Kazran to Tosch and Buchanan's Attorneys dated October 4, 2008. At the time, Kazran believed he only had days left to conclude a deal with Buchanan: "I must have this done before Monday [October 6] meeting." *Id.* Consistent with his deposition testimony, Kazran wrote that Tosch had confronted him the day before (October 3, 2008) and threatened that he only had ten minutes to sign the affidavit or else the deal was off. *Id.*

C. Buchanan's Denials and Those of His Clase Associates Are Not Credible

Buchanan denied directing Sam Kazran to reimburse contributions or knowing that

Kazran had done so, Buchanan Depo at 110, but his denial is not credible. Even as of the date of his deposition in this matter (June 28, 2010), Buchanan testified that he did not "know for sure" that Kazran had reimbursed any contributions, "[had] no knowledge that he did," and knew only that Kazran "might have" reimbursed contributions. Buchanan Depo at 101, 110. Indeed, as

1	discussed below, Buchanan testified that he was not certain whether he had even asked Kazran to
2	raise funds for VBFC, and did not know how much money Kazran had raised. Further, as will
3	be shown below, Buchanan's testimony was internally inconsistent and inconsistent with the
4	other testimonial and documentary evidence in several key respects, which undermines the
5	credibility of his testimony.

1. Inconsistencies Whether and How Often Buchanan Attended Partner Meetings and Whether Buchanan's Campaign Was Discussed at the Partner Meetings

As discussed above in section I.B.4, witnesses, including VBFC campaign manager/treasurer Joseph Gruters and former Buchanan partner Steve Silverio, testified that Buchanan discussed his campaign with his partners at the monthly partner meetings, which Buchanan regularly attended. Buchanan and his top deputies, Tosch and Slater, contradicted one another as to whether Buchanan attended partner meetings during his campaign and whether his campaign was discussed at those meetings. Buchanan testified that at partner meetings during his campaign, he generally spent approximately 3-5 minutes talking about the campaign, in response to questions. Buchanan Depo at 51, 114. However, Buchanan minimized the extent to which he attended the monthly partner meetings during his campaigns, testifying that he attended only three to five partner meetings per year from 2005 to 2008. Buchanan Depo at 26. Tosch, on the other hand, testified that Buchanan attended no monthly partner meetings after 2004 and never discussed his campaign or fundraising at any partner meetings. Tosch Depo at 28. Slater, who was the first of the three to testify, testified that Buchanan never discussed his campaign or fundraising at the partner meetings. Slater Depo at 47-57.

The inconsistent testimony of Buchanan, Tosch, and Slater is contradicted by the testimony of former Buchanan partner Steve Silverio, and the testimony of Joseph Gruters, Buchanan's consultant, campaign manager, and, later, VBFC treasurer. Silverio testified that he thought Buchanan attended 95% of the monthly partner meetings that were held during his partnership, which ended in the Spring of 2006. Silverio Depo at 17. Gruters testified that Buchanan met with his partners once every month, Gruters Depo at 32, and that Buchanan had him give campaign updates at partner meetings once or twice after May 2006, but only once or twice because Buchanan "wanted to have a closed door with his partners." *Id.* at 32, 51.

As discussed above in section I.B.6, Kazran testified that several times, from 2005 to 2007, Buchanan asked him to raise funds for VBFC. However, during his deposition, Buchanan testified that he could not remember "one way or the other" whether he ever asked Kazran to fundraise for VBFC. Buchanan Depo at 89. Despite his uncertainty as to whether he asked Kazran to fundraise, Buchanan also testified that he was the primary person who would ask his partners to raise funds. Id. at 39. Buchanan testified that he would "get a sense of what I thought maybe they could do" and "ask them, you know, could you help me raise ten or five with friends or whatever[.]" Id. at 40-41. He would "just try to tell them here's what we need to do, I need your help, if you can help me, it would be great[.]" Id. at 40. Buchanan testified that if a partner raised money initially, then "we" would ask them to make or raise more contributions.

Id. at 58. Gruters, the VBFC consultant, campaign manager, and treasurer, testified that Buchanan asked the partners for contributions and "once they committed [to] a certain number, like any campaign, you'd follow-up with those people and try to get them to see if they're going

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MUR 6054 General Counsel's Brief (Representative Vernon G. Buchanan et al.) Page 35

to be able to make their commitment." Gruters Depo at 38-39. "And he'd be on the phone in cars as we're heading to and from events and he would call and be following up with people to see how progress was coming along." Id. at 38. Gruters' testimony is corroborated by a document titled "Vern's Pledge Call List," that VBFC produced in unredacted form on August 25, 2010, that records Kazran's pledge to raise \$20,000 for VBFC alongside his phone number. See VBFC 000608. VBFC also produced a document on October 15, 2010, indicating that, as late as the second quarter of 2008, Buchanan expected Kazran to raise \$50,000 for VBFC and that Buchanan would follow up with Kazran. See VBFC 002231-002232. Despite not remembering whether he asked Kazran to fundraise, Buchanan was certain that he told Kazran not to reimburse contributions, testifying that, "I am sure I made it clear to him that you can't reimburse contributions," Buchanan Depo at 93, and, in fact, Buchanan testified that he was sufficiently concerned that Kazran, specifically, might not understand "the FEC rules," that Buchanan was "double clear" with Kazran about not reimbursing contributions. Id. When asked when he would have "made it clear" to Kazran, Buchanan testified that he did not know but he was "sure any time I would ask him, because he would ask me what the rules are, so I wanted to make sure he understood that." Id. at 93-94 (emphasis added). In another variation, Buchanan testified that he was confident he told Kazmn he could not reimburse contributions if Kazran "would have brought it up." Id. at 110. Buchanan's testimony about instructing Kazran not to reimburse contributions and his testimony that he did not remember

whether he ever asked Kazran to raise funds is inconsistent with the evidence.

3. Inconsistencies Whether Buchanan Knew How Much his Partners, Including Kazran, Had Raiset for VBFC

As discussed above in section I.B.4 and I.B.6, former Buchanan partners testified that Buchanan asked them to contribute to, and raise funds for, VBFC before certain deadlines, and that Buchanan would contact them again to ensure the contributions were made on time. In contrust, Buchanan testified that he did not report an individual partner's fundraising goal back to the campaign, the campaign did not track partner fundraising goals, Buchanan Depo at 41, and that he could not "imagine saying anything" to his campaign about what his partners agreed to raise. *Id.* at 56. Further, Buchanan testified, "I don't know what anybody has raised." *Id.* at 110. However, Buchanan's testimony is contradicted by the records produced by VBFC and the sworn testimony of Gruters, who served Buchanan as a consultant, campaign manager, and the VBFC treasurer.

Gruters testified in detail about the campaign's tracking of the partners' fundraising commitments, Buchanan's role in obtaining those commitments from his partners and reporting their commitments back to the campaign, and Buchanan's efforts to contact the partners to encourage them to meet their commitments. Gruters testified that in the 2008 campaign, there were lists of people, including Buchanan's partners, and the lists showed the annualists that they had committed to raise or what they had raised so far, and "calls would be going to see how their fundraising was doing." Gruters Depo at 42-43, 97, 109. Buchanan himself would follow up with partners to see how they were progressing with their fundraising and learn if they were going to meet their commitments. *Id.* at 38-39, 42, 109-111. Gruters personally witnessed Buchanan making calls to his partners in which he discussed their commitments. *Id.* at 51-52.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Gruters testified that the VBFC fundraising tracking lists would signify "who said who was going to raise what amount of money . . . it was probably emailed to the campaign team as a result of the fundraising meeting that was coming up." Gruters Depo at 98. At the campaign's weekly or monthly fundraising conference calls, Buchanan would state what pledges he obtained and someone, typically one of the campaign's professional fundraisers, would record them on the list. Id. at 110. Yvorme Buchanan, Buchanan's sister-in-law and a campaign staffer, also maintained a list of contributors, id. at 97, and Celena Thibodeaux, Buchanan's executive assistant and later, a fundraiser for the campaign, also kept a list for Buchanan, Id. at 111. Gruters testified that VBFC maintained a list of people who committed to raising certain amounts of contributions and what they had raised so far. Id. at 97. Gruters testified that people fundraising for Buchanan liked to give the contributions they raised directly to Buchanan or his fundraiser "so they can get credit." Id. at 40. Buchanan's testimony that he was not aware of how much his partners had raised is further refuted by documents that VBFC produced in response to the Commission's subpoena that appear to list individual partner contributions, their fundraising commitments, and the funds they had raised. For example, VBFC produced documents indicating Kazran's individual contributions, see VBFC 000361, documents indicating Kazran's "commitment" to raise an "additional \$40,000," see VBFC 000473, and documents that may indicate the amount of contributions raised by Kazran. See VBFC 000363. We note that one of these documents, VBFC 000361, is an email from Yvonne Buchanan at VBFC that lists \$58,300 in contributions from various individuals received by VBFC on September 27, 2007, including \$9,200 from Sam Kazran and his wife. VBFC first produced the

1	email on June 25, 2010, but redacted the recipient email addresses, including Buchanan's, as
2	"non-responsive." After questioning VBFC's counsel about the redactions during the deposition
3	of Joseph Gruters on June 25, 2010, the Office of the General Counsel sent a letter to counsel on
4	July 28, 2010, requesting production of unredacted versions of all documents that it previously
5	produced. On August 25, 2010, VBFC produced the email in unredacted form, revealing that
6	Yvonne Buchanan sent the email to Buchanan. Accordingly, the redacted document's
7	significance was not apparent at the time of Buchanan's deposition on June 28, 2010. In
8	response to our request for an explanation for this redaction, counsel for VBFC said that the
9	redaction was a "mistake."
10	Gruters' testimony and the VBFC records undermine the reliability of Buchanan's
11	testimony and corroborate Kazran's testimony. See Kazran Depo at 24 (Buchanan would "tell
12	me how much money I needed to send and by when"); id. at 11 (Buchanan said, "I'm going to
13	put your name on \$50,000 that you've got to raise by the end of the quarter."); id. at 35 (On a
14	Tuesday, Buchanan told Kazran he needed him to raise \$25,000 or \$50,000 by that Friday); see
15	also VBFC 002231-00232 (2008 second quarter fundraising chart includes a \$50,000 entry for
16	Kazran with the notation that Buchanan would call Kazran). Fruchanan could not have followed
i 7	up with partners who were not raising as much as expected, Buchanan Depo at 42, unless he had
18	knowledge of or a record indicating how much partners had pledged and raised.
19 20	4. Inconsistencies Whether Partners, Including Kazran, Were Instructed Not to Reimburse Contributions
21 22	As discussed above in sections I.B.4 and I.B.6, Buchanan and his COO, Dennis Slater,
23	instructed partners to reimburse contributions to VBFC. Former Buchanan partner Steve

Silverio testified that he didn't know campaign finance law but thought that reimbursing

MUR 6054 General Counsel's Brief (Representative Vernon G. Buchanan et al.) Page 39

contributions "just doesn't seem right," Silverio Depo at 46-47, and Kazran testified that he did 1 2 not know that what Buchanan instructed him to do was illegal and that if Buchanan had told him 3 it was illegal he would not have gotten involved. Kazran Depo at 87-88. Although Buchanan 4 testified that his business partners were warned not to reimburse contributions, his testimony was 5 internally inconsistent, contradicted by the former VBFC treasurer, and not supported by the 6 documents produced by VBFC. Buchanan testified that he was "sure" that his campaign sent 7 "letters and different things" to his business partners to let them know that they could not 8 reimburse contributions because most of them had never been involved in campaigns. Buchanan 9 Depo at 34. However, he became less certain about the letters when asked when they had been 10 sent: I mean, I don't really know. I say letters. I believe there was [sic] some letters 11 sent out, you know, I might be wrong, but I thought we had sent some stuff in 12 terms of making sure that they were aware that you can't reimburse people. 13 14 15 Id. Buchanan also testified that he thought that partners who were fundraising were sent 16 letters thanking them and reminding them not to reimburse contributions. Id. at 58-59. Joseph Gruters, VBFC campaign manager and its current treasurer, testified that it 17 was his "guess" that VBFC "probably" sent information to all of Buchman's partners to 18 19 let them know what they could and could not do soon after VBFC refunded the reimbursed contributions at SunCoast Ford, which was in June of 2007. Gruters Depo 20 21 at 70. Nevertheless, on July 9, 2010, the VBFC treasurer at the time of the reimbursed 22 contributions. Nancy Watkins, stated during an interview, in which she was represented 23 by counsel for VBFC, that she was unaware of any materials being prepared for 24 Buchanan's partners that advised them about campaign finance law. VBFC has not

21

22

produced any documents supporting Buchanan's contention that his campaign distributed 1 2 a warning against reimbursing contributions or any other campaign finance law guidance 3 to his business partners. Furthermore, in its October 15, 2010, document production. 4 VBFC produced an internal email string indicating that in June of 2008, one year after it 5 refunded the SunCoast Ford contributions, it had searched for, but was unable to locate, 6 any letters to partners instructing them about "fundraising policy." See VBFC 001197. 7 Rushanan also testified that he "told partners numerous times they can't 8 reimburse." Buchanan Depo at 34. Buchanan testified that he "probably" told them 9 about the prohibition against reimbursing contributions soon after he launched his 10 campaign "because I was always trying to make them aware that you can't reimburse people." Id. at 35. Regarding his warning his partners not to reimburse, "if I said it once 12 I said it 50 times to various partners, various individuals, you know, that type of them [sic]." Id. at 36. 13 14 However, Buchanan again equivocated about whether his partners had been 15 instructed and suggested that perhaps somebody else at the campaign talked to his 16 business partners about reimbursements or perhaps the partners themselves would call the 17 campaign to ask the campaign for guidance about reimbursements: "But I would like to 18 think that our campaign people talked to them or they would call the campaign people, but I'd make sure that, you know, we want to do a good job raising money." Id. at 36. 19 20 Buchanan also suggested that his guidance to his partners about reimbursements was in response to questions from individual partners: "Some of them will mention can I

write it out of this account or can I do this or, you know, periodically." Id. at 35.

MUR 6054					
General	Counsel's Brief (Representative \	ernon G. I	Buchanan (et i	al.
Page 41	-				

1	"[S]omeone would ask me a question, what about this[?]" Id. at 36. Buchanan would tell
2	them "I just want to remind you you can't reimburse, it's got to be personal money up to
3	whatever it was, 4,200 times two or if they had a spouse." Id. at 36. Buchanan testified
4	that "the big thing was on the reimbursement." Id. However, Buchanan almost
5	immediately reversed himself, testifying that he did not remember anybody asking him a
6	question about using an account or reinbursing contributions, "I don't remember
7	anybody asking me about that." Id.
8	In yet another variation, Buchanan testified that he warned his partners against
9	reimbursing contributions as part of a presentation he made about multiple rules,
10	including the contribution limits, and the prohibition against corporate funds. Id. at 64-
11	65. When the partners violated these rules, "our people have to go back and go get it
12	cleaned up." Id. at 65.
13	Because of the numerous inconsistencies in Buchanan's testimony about warning
14	his partners not to reimburse contributions, his testimony on this issue is not credible.
15 16 17 18	5. Inconsistencies Regarding the Assertion that Kazran Threatened to Falsely Claim that Buchanan Authorized Him to Reimburse Contributions Unless Buchanan Paid him \$500,000
19	As discussed above in section I.B.6 and I.B.10, Kazmn sont a series of emails to Tosch
20	indicating that he expected Buchanan to repay HNJ the amount of HNJ funds that Kazran used,
21	at Buchanan's direction, to reimburse contributions to VBFC. Kazran forwarded copies of some
22	of the contribution and reimbursement checks to Tosch, and Kazran indicated that VBFC had
23	records of the additional contribution amounts to establish the amount that Buchanan should
24	repay to HNJ. According to Buchanan and Tosch, during discussions about the business dispute

MUR 6054			
General Counsel's Brief (Representative	Vernon G.	Buchanan	et al.)
Page 42			

1	between Buchanan and Kazran, Kazran said to Tosch that he would disclose publicly that
2	Buchanan told him to reimburse contributions unless Buchanan paid him an additional \$500,000.
3	Tosch Depo at 66, 87; Buchanan Depo at 90-91. This testimony is contradicted by the
4	documents connected with those discussions that respondents and witnesses produced in
5	response to the Commission's subpoenas. Those documents demonstrate that in September and
6	October 2008, Kazran was attempting to prove the amount of the reimbursoments so that
7	Buchanan could repay that amount, not a higher amount. See Kazran Email to Roger Gannam
8	dated October 1, 2008 at Tosch Depo Docs 000048; Email from Kazran to Tosch dated October
9	5, 2008 at Tosch Depo Docs 000052-55. For example, in Kazran's email dated October 1, 2008,
10	he referred to the reimbursed contributions as "a bill" that he and Buchanan had discussed:
11 12 13	Vern had mentioned he would want to reimburse the stores a bill that he and I spoke of, the total amount is \$83,500, He has copies of 52k, if he likes I can get the rest or he can verify through his record. This was at his request.
14 15	See Kazran Email to Roger Gannam dated October 1, 2008 at Tosch Depo Docs 000048-51.
16	And, on October 5, 2008, Kazran emailed John Tosch and referred discreetly to discussions he
17	was having directly with Buchanan about Buchanan's repayment of the reimbursed
18	contributions, indicating that they were keeping the details of those discussions from their
19	attorneys. Kazran wrote:
20 21 22 23 24	Vern and I will talk about the last part without attornies[sic], I think I have a suggestion that will make him happy He wants to cut a check for all the amount, I have about 70k tracked down the rest are credit cards, if he wants to verify, I have to call the campaign manager to ask her for details, if you can have someone do that I would app[re]ciate it.
25 26	Id. at Tosch Depo Docs 000053. These contemporaneous communications, in which Kazran is
27	trying to confidentially establish the amount of HNJ's contributions to VBFC that Buchanan

should repay, undermine Buchanan and Tosch's assertions that Kazran was demanding an additional \$500,000 in relation to the reimbursed contributions.

Although Tosch averred in an affidavit that he signed on September 17, 2008, that during a phone call on September 9, 2008, Kazran said that Buchanan had "declared war" by suing Kazran, and that Kazran intended to meet with Christine Jennings, Buchanan's Democratic opponent, to "listen to what they have to say," see VBFC 001242, Kazran never mut with Jennings. In fact, Kazran expressed in his October 5, 2008, email his surprise at Tosch for issuing the affidavit, in which Tosch also alleged that Jennings motivated Kazran to file his lawsuit against Buchanan. Kazran attempted to correct Tosch and stated, "I am sure they are going to use anything I say against Vern and I do not want to get involved." Email from Kazran to Tosch dated October 5, 2008 at Tosch Depo Docs 000052-55. Further, Kazran suggested that he and Tosch call or write Jennings together to say that "Vern and I have a good relationship and that we simply have a dispute[.]" Id. at Tosch Depo Docs 000052.

Despite Kazran's email demonstrating that he was attempting to resolve the repayment of

the reimbursements confidentially and that he did not want to "get involved" in the election or say anything that Ilennings could use against Buchanan, Buchanan testifind that he decident to file the VBFC sua sponte because Kazran was going to report the reisabursements to the Commission and was turning it into a "big issue." Buchanan Depo at 165. VBFC's sua sponte, accusing Kazran of reimbursing contributions to VBFC with no acceptance of responsibility by Buchanan or VBFC, was dated October 6, the day after Kazran's email offering to work with Tosch to inform Jennings about the dispute between Kazran and Buchanan in order to keep their dispute out of the campaign.

Reimbursements

6.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

As discussed above in section I.B.10 and I.B.11, Kazran and Buchanan talked directly with one another about the reimbursed contributions, and Kazran's emails to Tosch at the time refer to his discussions with Buchanan. When asked whether Buchanan remembered having any conversations with Kazran about reimbursing money to Kazran's stores, Buchanan testified both that he did not, and that "It could have come up in one genversation, I don't know." Buchman Depo at 154. Despite Buchanan's testimony earlier in his deposition that he did net "know for sure" that Kazran reimbursed contributions, that "[i]t was just brought up that he might have," that Kazran never told him that he reimbursed contributions, id. at 101, 110, and Buchanan's uncertainty about whether he discussed the affidavit with Kazran, id. at 171-172, Buchanan also testified that he and Kazran "might have" discussed the reimbursed contributions. Id. at 154. There is evidence that Buchanan and Kazran were in fact discussing the reimbursements with one another, as Kazran noted in his emails to Tosch. In a voice message that Buchanan left for Kazran during this period of negotiations, apparently after Buchanan sent his demand letter to Kazran on September 7 or 8, 2008, but before Kuzran sued Buchanan on September 25, 2005, Buchanan stated, araong other things: ... But I think the threatening of the political stuff and all that, you got more liability than you know if you start telling people that you reimbursed people, because technically you have that liability. All I told you, and I've always made it clear is that you can't reimburse people. They've got to give it on their free will. You know that. So, and we're up 18 points, we're going to win the election anyway . . . And again, we're just trying to protect our interest and do what's right for us and ideally for you. And I think that it would be a good idea if we tried to

figure out how to work fogether. ... I think it's important that we sit down and

work that and work this out. Give me a buzz. Thanks.

Inconsistencies Regarding Buchanan's Discussions with Kazran About

- 1 Kazran Depo at 79-82. The message demonstrates, contrary to Buchanan's testimony, that
- 2 Buchanan was speaking with Kazran directly during the business negotiations about the
- 3 reimbursements, as Kazran noted in his emails to Tosch. If, as it appears, Buchanan and Kazran
- 4 were directly discussing the reimbursed contributions, Buchanan's testimony that Kazran only
- 5 disclosed the reimbursements to Tosch, Buchanan Depo at 89-92, is questionable.
- Although Tosch had previously testified that Kazran's phone call and subsequent email
- 7 on September 8, 2008, were the only two communications Kazran and Tosch ever had about the
- 8 reimbursements and that they "never talked about it" afterwards, Tosch Depo at 75, 87-88,
- 9 Tosch later testified that he indeed talked and corresponded with Kazran about the affidavit,
- which was sent to Kazran on October 2, 2008. Id. at 108-114.
- Buchanan's testimony about his email usage was also unclear. On the one hand,
- Buchanan acknowledged that the email address to which Kazran sent the August 26, 2008, email
- referring to his donation of \$80,000 to VBFC was one of Buchanan's email accounts but
- testified that he had never seen it and that "it would go to my assistant or somebody else[.]"
- Buchanan Depo at 141-2. Buchanan could not identify the assistant who would have been
- monitoring that account, but one possibility was "Diane" [Mitchell]. Id. Mitchell is also
- 17 Tosch's assistant, and it was John Tosch who produced Kasran's August 26, 2008, email to
- 18 Buchanen to us. On the other hand, Buchanen also testified that he does receive emails and, if
- 19 they are about something that Tosch is negotiating, he forwards them to Tosch with his
- 20 comments. Id. "Usually if I get an email or something that John's negotiating, I give it to John
- 21 so John takes care of this with Sam or whatever, maybe make a few quotes or give you
- 22 comments." Id.

7. Inconsistencies About the False Affidavit

As discussed above in section I.B.11, on October 2, 2008, Buchanan's attorney transmitted a letter to Kazran that Buchanan and Tosch signed that proposed a set of terms for an agreement that included a demand that Kazran sign an affidavit, attached to the letter, averring that Buchanan did not know about the reimbursements. See Letter from Roger Gannam to Sam Kazran dated October 2, 2008 at Tosch Depo Docs 000066-72. Tosch testified that Kazran would not sign the affidavit because Kazran's attorney advised Kazran that doing so would violate the law, Tosch Depo at 94; 113, and Kazran testified that his wife and his attorney advised him not to sign the affidavit. Kazran Depo at 60-62. The record evidence includes an October 2, 2008, email from Kazran's attorney to Tosch and Buchanan's attorneys stating that there was "no chance" he would advise Kazran to sign the affidavit because it had nothing to do with the business transactions at issue and was "factually inaccurate in many material respects." See Tosch Depo Docs 000207. Kazran testified that he would not sign the false affidavit. Kazran Depo at 60-62.

Regarding this key element of the case, Buchanan testified to having almost nothing to do with it, and remembering little about it. Buchanan testified that he did not remember signing the letter conditioning their agreement on Kazran signing the affidavit (and to which the affidavit was attached), but he acknowledged that one of the signatures on it appeared to be his and another appeared to be Tosch's. Buchanan Depo at 164. Buchanan testified that it was not his idea to have Kazran sign the affidavit, that he "wasn't involved" in the decision to ask Kazran to sign the affidavit, that he didn't know who prepared the affidavit, that he had no part in drafting the affidavit, that he had never seen the affidavit before his deposition, and never discussed it

23

1 with Tosch. Id. at 164, 166-167. Buchanan also testified that he was not involved in the 2 negotiating process and "didn't know what the lawyers or [Tosch] decided" to do about Kazran. 3 Id. at 166. Buchanan testified that he could not remember whether Tosch told Kazran that, if he 4 did not sign the affidavit, the deal would not go through and that Kazran had only a limited time 5 to decide whether or not to sign the affidavit. Id. at 173. Buchanan also denied knowing 6 whether or not Kazrun ever signed the affidavit. Id. 7 As for the reasons for the affidavit, Buchanan testified that this affidavit was created 8 because, according to Tosch, Kazran was attempting to use the reimbursement of contributions 9 from HNJ employees as "leverage" in their negotiations. Id. at 165-168. Buchanan testified that 10 Kazran communicated to Tosch his desire for more money based on the reimbursements, but "he 11 didn't communicate it to me." Id. at 168. Tosch testified, however, that the affidavit was drafted 12 and added to the proposed settlement terms on October 2, 2008, because of a conversation 13 between Kazran and Buchanan that occurred on or about October 1. Tosch Depo at 111. 14 Buchanan also conceded that he may have spoken to Kazran about the affidavit. Buchanan Depo 15 at 171-172. 16 Buchanan's lack of recall about the affiduvit, or the events surrounding it, as well as the 17 inconsistencies between his testimony and Tosch's, undermine Buchanan's credibility on this 18 issue. 19 D. Respondents' Violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441f Were Knowing and Willful 20 21 As demonstrated above, there is probable cause to believe that Buchanan, VBFC, and

Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated the Act by receiving contributions in the

names of others. Further, their violations were knowing and willful. The phrase "knowing and

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3 (reprinted in Legislative History of Federal Election Campaign Act Amendments of 1976 at 803-

4 04 (Aug. 1977)); see also National Right to Work Comm. v. FEC, 716 F.2d 1401, 1403 (D.C.

5 Cir. 1983) (citing AFL-CIO v. FEC, 628 F.2d 97, 98, 101 (D.C. Cir. 1980) for the proposition

6 that "knowing and willful" means "'defiance' or 'knowing, conscious, and deliberate flaunting'

7 [sic] of the Act"); United States v. Hopkins, 916 F.2d 207, 214-15 (5th Cir. 1990). The Hopkins

court also held that taking steps to disguise the source of funds used in illegal activities might

9 reasonably be explained as a "motivation to evade lawful obligations." Hopkins, 916 F.2d at

213-14 (citing Ingram v. United States, 360 U.S. 672, 679 (1959)) (internal quotations omitted).

The evidence supporting the conclusion that Buchanan and, therefore, VBFC, knew that reimbursing federal contributions violated the law includes evidence that Buchanan, who had raised money for candidates for years, testified that he has known about the prohibition on reimbursing contributions "all along." Buchanan Depo at 30 and 62. 11 The investigation produced evidence that "all along" meant at least since the early 2000's. Salvatore Rosa's sworn deposition testimony established that (a) Rosa had heard that there were coerced political contributions at Sarasota Ford in 2000-2001 and that employees' political contributions were reimbursed through additions to their paychecks; (b) in the early 2000's, Buchanan called Rosa and instructed him to help Don Jenkins, President of V.B. Investments, receive a reimbursement

¹¹ Buchanan testified that at the beginning of his 2006 campaign, VBFC treasurer Nancy Watkins held a number of meetings to go through "the rules." *Id.* at 62-63. However, during our interview of Watkins on July 9, 2010, Watkins stated that she did not instruct Buchanan or his partners about campaign finance rules generally or, specifically, about the prohibition against reimbursing contributions. Watkins was represented and accompanied by counsel for VBFC during the interview.

General Counsel's Brief (Representative Vernon G. Buchanan et al.)
Page 49

- 1 for a political contribution he had made using the funds of V.B. Investments, of which Buchanan
- 2 owned 51%; 12 and (c) Rosa informed Buchanan that what he was asking Rosa to do was illegal
- 3 but Buchanan replied, "Finesse it," and ended the conversation. Rosa Depo at 21-22. It thus
- 4 appears that Buchanan knew before the reimbursements at issue in this matter, which started in
- 5 November 2005 and continued through December 2007, that it was illegal to reimburse federal
- 6 contributions using his company funds.

of the reimbursements at the time they were made.

Furthermore, the knowing and willful nature of the violation is established by

Buchanan's efforts to conceal the reimbursements. The transactions at issue concealed the fact

that Buchanan was supporting VBFC with the funds of companies that he controlled and also to

make it appear, through the record of contributions to VBFC published in the Commission's

disclosure database, as though Buchanan had a greater number of supporters. Additionally,

Buchanan attempted to have Kazran sign a false affidavit indicating that Buchanan was unaware

E. Respondents Knowingly and Willfully Received Excessive Contributions in Violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f)

15 16 17

18

19

20

13

14

The \$67,900 in contributions that Kazran reimbursed using HNJ funds also constituted an excessive contribution from HNJ to VBFC and, due to Buchanan's authorization of the reimbursements, Buchanan, VBFC and Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer, knowingly and willfully received excessive contributions in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) because the

¹² According to Rosa, when he called Jenkins, Jenkins told Rosa that he had already reimbursed himself. Rosa Depo at 22. Buchanan denied discussing the reimbursement of Jenkins' contributions with either Jenkins or Rosa, and denied having any reason to think that Jenkins reimbursed his contribution. Buchanan Depo at 72-74.

MUR 6054
General Counsel's Brief (Representative Vernon G. Buchanan et al.)
Page 50

- 1 \$67,900 total of contributions reimbursed by HNJ exceeded the maximum allowable
- 2 contributions from HNJ, a partnership, to VBFC in the 2006 and 2008 election cycles.
- The individual contribution limit for giving to candidate committees was \$2,100 per
- 4 election in the 2006 election cycle and \$2,300 per election in the 2008 election cycle.
- 5 Accordingly, a person who reimbursed contributions totaling more than those amounts would
- 6 also have made an excessive contribution in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a). The statuto
- 7 provides that no person shall knowingly accept contributions in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a).
- 8 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f).
- 9 11-2001 LLC d/b/a Hyundai of North Jacksonville is an LLC taxed as a partnership and
- may make contributions subject to the Act's limitations. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(e) and
- 11 110.1(g)(2); HNJ Response to Commission Subpoena and Order. Because HNJ, acting through
- 12 Kazran, reimbursed \$67,900 of contributions by HNJ employees to VBFC, \$49,500 of which
- were contributed and reimbursed in the 2006 cycle and \$18,400 of which were contributed and
- 14 reimbursed in the 2008 cycle, HNJ exceeded the 2006 and 2008 election cycle contribution
- 15 limits. Based on the circumstances described above, including Buchanan's desire to minimize
- the appearance that he was funding his own compaign and to create the appearance of a greater
- 17 number of individual contributors, his knowledge that reimbursing federal contributions was
- illegal, his direction to Kazran to use HNJ funds to reimburse contributions to VBFC, and his
- 19 attempt to have Kazran sign a false affidavit stating that Buchanan was unaware of the
- 20 reimbursements, Buchanan, and therefore VBFC and Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer,
- 21 knowingly and willfully received HNJ's excessive contributions in violation of 2 U.S.C.
- 22 § 441a(f).

	MUR (General Page 5	al Counsel's Brief (Representative Vernon G. Buchanan et al.)	
1 2	II.	CONCLUSION	
3		Based on the foregoing, this Office is prepared to recommend that the Commission find	
4	proba	ble cause to believe that Vernon G. Buchanan, Vern Buchanan for Congress, and Joseph	
5	Grute	rs, in his official capacity as treasurer, knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f by	
6	knowingly and willfully receiving contributions in the name of another, and 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f)		
7	by knowingly and wilifully receiving contributions to VBFC from HNJ totaling more than		
8	\$2,100 per election in the 2006 election eyele and more than \$2,300 per election in the 2008		
9	electio	on cycle.	
10 11 12 13 14 15	10 ·	Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel	
16 17 18 19 20 21		Stephen A. Gura Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement	
23 24 25		Mark Allen Assistant General Counsel	
26 27 28 29		Jack Gould Attorney	
30 31 32 33		Michael A. Columbo Attorney	